



BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

SOLO INVEST S.A.S

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016

ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel product location workers requires change at many levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the product location. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on product location conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's member companies. The Checks examine how member company management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices. They evaluate the parts of member company supply chains where clothing is assembled. This is the most labour intensive part of garment supply chains, and where brands can have the most influence over working conditions.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own product locations, and most product locations work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF member companies have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of member companies. Outcomes at the product location level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF member companies cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the product location level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by member companies cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a product location can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a product location can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

The Brand Performance Check system is designed to accommodate the range of structures and strengths that different companies have, and reflects the different ways that brands can support better working conditions.

This report is based on interviews with member company employees who play important roles in the management of supply chains, and a variety of documentation sources, financial records, supplier data. The findings from the Brand Performance Check are summarized and published at www.fairwear.org. The online [Brand Performance Check Guide](#) provides more information about the indicators.

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

SOLO INVEST S.A.S

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016

MEMBER COMPANY INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Paris, France
Member since:	01-06-2014
Product types:	Promotional
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Bangladesh, China, India, Viet Nam
Production in other countries:	Pakistan
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan and projected production location data for upcoming year have been submitted?	Yes
Actual production location data for evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	84%
Benchmarking score	59
Category	Good

Summary:

Solo Invest S.A.S (hereafter: Sol's) has shown progress and met most of FWF's performance requirements. Its monitoring threshold of 84% is an improvement compared to last year. This monitoring percentage, combined with a benchmark score of 59, means that FWF awarded Sol's the 'Good' rating.

To improve its monitoring percentage, Sol's increased the number of FWF audits conducted at its production locations and carried out WEP's in two of their factories. Sol's has an extensive understanding of the pricing system at each of their suppliers.

For the coming year, FWF recommends, Sol's to ensure that audits also occur at more production locations where more than 2% of production takes place, or where Sol's has over 10% leverage. Sol's should continue to improve its monitoring system through strengthening remediation process at suppliers and prevention of violations at suppliers in Bangladesh.

PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

Leader: This category is for member companies who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level. Leaders show best practices in complex areas such as living wages and freedom of association.

Good: It is FWF's belief that member companies who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF member companies—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of member companies will receive a 'Good' rating.

Needs Improvement: Member companies are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Member companies may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

Suspended: Member companies who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Member companies may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1a Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys at least 10% of production capacity.	76%	Member companies with less than 10% of a production location's production capacity generally have limited influence on production location managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by member company.	4	4	0

Comment: In 2016, 76% of Sol's production was purchased from production locations where it buys at least 10% of production capacity. Sol's has a high leverage at its key suppliers and some subcontractors. Sol's is therefore able to work more effectively towards improving labour conditions at those factories.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1b Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys less than 2% of its total FOB.	10%	FWF provides incentives to clothing brands to consolidate their supplier base, especially at the tail end, as much as possible, and rewards those members who have a small tail end. Shortening the tail end reduces social compliance risks and enhances the impact of efficient use of capital and remediation efforts.	Production location information as provided to FWF.	3	4	0

Comment: In 2016, 10% of Sol's production volume came from production locations where it buys less than 2% of its total FOB.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Sol's to consolidate its supply base by limiting the number of suppliers in its 'tail end'. Shortening the tail will reduce the social compliance risks the member is exposed to and will allow the member to improve working conditions in a more efficient and effective way.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.2 Percentage of production volume from production locations where a business relationship has existed for at least five years.	56%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give production locations a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by member company.	3	4	0

Comment: Sol's is focusing more and more on long-term business relationships with their key suppliers however it continues to attain newer suppliers, who account for a small volume of their accessories.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Sol's to maintain stable business relationships with suppliers. Long-term relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving working conditions.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.3 All new production locations are required to sign and return the questionnaire with the Code of Labour Practices before first bulk orders are placed.	Yes	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between production locations and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to improvements.	Signed CoLPs are on file.	2	2	0

Comment: Sol's has suppliers spread Bangladesh, India and China. All of Sol's suppliers are required to sign the Code of Labour Practices. It usually takes 6-8 months for Sol's to confirm the starting of business relationship with a new supplier. During that period, Sol's collects production data and requires suppliers' commitment on social compliance.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.4 Member company conducts human rights due diligence at all new production locations before placing orders.	Advanced	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at new suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk assessments.	4	4	0

Comment: In 2016, Sol's had 14 new suppliers. In Bangladesh, Sol's local office manager visit the new factory and send a report to headquarters. They meet with the factory managers. This visits are conducted before placing order, where safety checks are performed, previous audit reports collected and pictures of the workplace taken.

In other countries, Sol's commissions third parties namely Soci t  G n rale de Surveillance (SGS), AQM Textile Quality Control, SGT or Bureau Veritas to conduct an audit. The results of these check allows Sol's to make purchasing decision at these factories.

Recommendation: FWF encourages Sol's to continue and strengthen due diligence and monitoring of subcontracted production locations and avoid frequent changes.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.5 Production location compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	Yes	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	1	2	0

Comment: Sol's organised regular internal meetings (every three months) with CSR , merchandiser and product department to discuss the performance of suppliers. The followings are frequently discussed:

- Whether or not to develop more products, new specific pattern or change designs, based on the situation at the suppliers.
- Maintaining good quality in manufacturing.
- Whether lead time is still realistic to the suppliers.
- Whether price and quality meets the expectation.
- Finance stability of the suppliers.
- Compliance: whether the supplier is willing to improve and easier to communicate with in terms of remediation.

Sol's is developing a system to evaluate the suppliers more systematically.

Recommendation: As it is not always possible to reward suppliers with more volumes, the member company could look into other incentives that reward supplier's commitment towards the CoLP. An example would be to offer buyer paid training for skill building/capacity development.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.6 The member company's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	Strong, integrated systems in place.	Member company production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at production locations.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	4	4	0

Comment: Sol's believes that long term planning is very important, because long term plans make it easier to adjust based on the actual situation during production period.

Sol's has made commitments with their strategic partner manufacturers, which produce large quantities. Sol's commits to order a certain amount every month and carries out the plan. The factories therefore are able to plan production accordingly. Sol's is not allowed to place extra orders, except for the period specified in the contract with the suppliers.

According to Sol's, such a system aims to ensure the factories deliver good quality and on time. A carefully planned production is always good for quality. Although unexpected issues will occur at times, Sol's is able to deal with them via regular and frequent communications with the suppliers. Since most production is made for stock, there is seldom any urgent orders.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.7 Degree to which member company mitigates root causes of excessive overtime.	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of member companies; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Evidence of how member responds to excessive overtime and strategies that help reduce the risk of excessive overtime, such as: root cause analysis, reports, correspondence with factories, etc.	3	6	0

Comment: At brand level, Sol's tries to even out the production through out the year. Sol's is currently building a system to inform suppliers sufficiently and timely about priorities of orders, so that suppliers could plan their production accordingly.

Sol's has made efforts to ensure its own expectation is realistic and consider suppliers' timelines. For instance, to anticipate the Chinese new year, the orders have to be confirmed by October the previous year that satisfies the needs for the next six months. The Chinese suppliers would be able to deliver the products by the end of January. After October, no order from Sol's will be placed at the factories, so that the factories could focus on current orders.

Recommendation: According to audit results in 2016, some factories still have to work excessive overtime. Sol's is encouraged to conduct root-cause analysis of OT at factory level to help manufacturers to plan their production efficiently in order to reduce overtime.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.8 Member company's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	Style-level policy	The first step towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know the labour costs of garments.	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	4	4	0

Comment: Based on labour minute cost data, factory efficiency, capacity and the estimate amount of orders, Sol's made commitments in the contracts with suppliers on the number of orders per month and the prices per produce based on labour minute cost. Once the contract is signed, Sol's is obliged to pay the factory the agreed amount, even if Sol's would reduce the number of orders.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.9 Member company actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	Yes	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF member companies are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	1	2	-2

Comment: in 2016, an audit in Bangladesh found that small amount of workers were not paid according to their work grade.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by member company.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on production locations and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of production location and member company financial documents.	0	0	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.11 Degree to which member company assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Supply chain approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to member companies' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards living wages.	6	8	0

Comment: According to audit findings, not all workers at suppliers of Sol's were paid above living wage benchmarks. Sol's has evaluated its prices and found that in some cases, the price could be sufficient to cover living wage cost. However more study needs to be done to verify this. The root-cause for not paying living wages to all employees at factory level is not identified.

Recommendation: Since Sol's has a comprehensive and transparent pricing system, the company could take a step forward to join FWF's living wage initiatives. Doing a research with FWF could help Sol's find out if its prices covers living wage costs for all workers at the whole supply chain. In addition, it could help Sol's to identify the root cause of factories' wage level being lower than living wage benchmark.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.12 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the member company (bonus indicator).	None	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an member company's score.	Supplier information provided by member company.	N/A	2	0

PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 44

Earned Points: 35

2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	62%	
% of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled	0%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Meets monitoring requirements for tail-end production locations.	No	FWF members must meet tail-end monitoring requirements. Implementation will be assessed during next Brand Performance check.
Total of own production under monitoring	84%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 80-100% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: Sol's has a designated staff member who follows up on any problems identified in the monitoring system.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.2 Quality of own auditing system meets FWF standards.	Member makes use of FWF audits and/or external audits only	In case FWF teams cannot be used, the member companies' own auditing system must ensure sufficient quality in order for FWF to approve the auditing system.	Information on audit methodology.	N/A	0	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.3 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory and worker representation where applicable. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner.	Yes	2 part indicator: FWF audit reports were shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt AND a reasonable time frame was specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.4 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans and remediation of identified problems.	Basic	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that member companies can do towards improving working conditions.	CAP-related documentation including status of findings, documentation of remediation and follow up actions taken by member. Reports of quality assessments. Evidence of understanding relevant issues.	4	8	-2

Comment: Sol's discussed the audit findings and CAPs with factories through emails. Factories reported remediation effort through showing pictures and records. Production staff of Sol's also followed up on CAPs when they visited the factory. However not all CAPs were addressed, especially on living wages and overtime. In addition, no preventive steps were taken so far.

Recommendation: Sol's is recommended to make more efforts to monitor the suppliers and support them in remediation. Sol's could hire a consultant to train or advice suppliers in implementing the CAPs. Sol's could also provide technical support to improve efficiency at factories.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.5 Percentage of production volume from production locations that have been visited by the member company in the previous financial year.	88%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by member company staff or local representatives. They reinforce to production location managers that member companies are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Member companies should document all production location visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	4	4	0

Comment: In 2016, Sol's visited an estimate of 88% of production volume from production locations.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.6 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	Yes	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	Audit reports are on file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of quality assessments.	1	3	0

Comment: Sol's has meetings with the factory to discuss the follow up of the findings of the audits. Not all audit reports are social reports and meet FWF's basic requirements.

Recommendation: FWF recommends Sol's to make use of the FWF audit assessment tool for further evaluation of the quality of external audits that have been conducted at factories.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.7 Compliance with FWF risk policies.	Insufficient result on all relevant policies	Aside from regular monitoring and remediation requirements under FWF membership, countries, specific areas within countries or specific product groups may pose specific risks that require additional steps to address and remediate those risks. FWF requires member companies to be aware of those risks and implement policy requirements as prescribed by FWF.	Policy documents, inspection reports, evidence of cooperation with other customers sourcing at the same factories, reports of meetings with suppliers, reports of additional activities and/or attendance lists as mentioned in policy documents.	-2	6	-2
Compliance with FWF enhanced monitoring programme Bangladesh	Insufficient			-2	6	-2
Compliance with FWF Myanmar policy	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF guidance on abrasive blasting	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2

Comment: Not all factories located in Bangladesh have been inspected on structural, fire and electrical safety. Although Sol's could show documents that some of their suppliers have set up anti-harassment committees, there is no sufficient evidence showing that these committees are functional. No factories has joined FWF's anti-harassment project to prevent and address gender-based violence.

Requirement: FWF requires all member companies to ensure inspections and remediation on fire and building safety are conducted at all suppliers. The Accord and the Alliance do quality assessments and follow up for brands after fire and building safety inspections. According to the ILO, Bureau Veritas and SGS are among creditable organisations doing such inspections. Sol's should make sure all factories are inspected and start to work on remediation plans.

FWF requires all member companies to evaluate the risk of gender-based violence at their suppliers in Bangladesh. It is important that Sol's takes action to identify risk and prevent gender-based violence.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.8 Member company cooperates with other FWF member companies in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers.	No CAPs active, no shared production locations or refusal of other company to cooperate	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the chances of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	N/A	2	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.9 Percentage of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled.	No production in low-risk countries	Low-risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with national and international standards and laws.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	N/A	2	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.10 Extra bonus indicator: in case FWF member company conducts full audits above the minimum required monitoring threshold.	Not applicable	FWF encourages all of its members to audit/monitor 100% of its production locations and rewards those members who conduct full audits above the minimum required monitoring threshold.	Production location information as provided to FWF and recent Audit Reports.	N/A	3	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.11 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from external brands resold by the member company.	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	2	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.12 External brands resold by member companies that are members of another credible initiative (% of external sales volume).	No external brands resold	FWF believes members who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to sell external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously and are open about in which countries they produce goods.	External production data in FWF's information management system. Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.13 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from licensees.	No licensees	FWF believes it is important for member companies to know if the licensee is committed to the implementation of the same labour standards and has a monitoring system in place.	Questionnaires are on file. Contracts with licensees.	N/A	1	0

MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 25

Earned Points: 11

3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	1	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	1	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1

Comment: Sol's has a designated staff who addresses and follows up on worker complaints at suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.2 System is in place to check that the Worker Information Sheet is posted in factories.	Yes	The Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from production location visits, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Sol's has a system to ensure the posting of the Workers information sheet. The buying department regularly checked the posts during their annual visits at all factories. This year evidence in photos was provided.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited production locations where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	17%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If production location based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Production location participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited production locations where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of production locations in WEP programme.	1	4	0

Comment: In 2016, two factories in China has participated in the Workplace Education Programme to increase awareness of workers about FWF.

Recommendation: Sol's could encourage more of its suppliers to participate in Workplace Education Programme.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.4 All complaints received from production location workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	Yes	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Member company involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that member company has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	3	6	-2

Comment: In 2016, Sol's had a complaint case where 29 workers and managers got lay off. They wanted to be reinstated or paid compensation. Sol's has actively contacted the factory management immediately after receiving the complaint. During investigation period, the workers had reported the case to local authority. They decided to handling it locally. The workers agreed to let local authority handle their case. Therefore, FWF decided to close the case.

Sol's continues to work at this factory, however they are facing problems with delay, quality and safety. It was not possible to follow up and implement preventive steps.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary	Because most production locations supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF member company can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	0

COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 13

Earned Points: 7

4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff at member company are made aware of FWF membership.	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: Sol's has a protocol that all newly recruited staff are trained by the purchase and quality department, where FWF membership and requirements are also touched upon.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.2 All staff in direct contact with suppliers are informed of FWF requirements.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	-1

Comment: Sol's attends FWF organised webinars and sends a staff representative to member seminars whenever possible.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	No	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of member company to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	0	2	0

Comment: Sol's was unable to show the communication between agents and sourcing contractors on FWF's Code of Labour Practices, however less 3% of the total FOB are purchased via agents.

Recommendation: Sol's could invite the agent in China to join FWF's suppliers seminars to gain more knowledge on social compliance.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.4 Production location participation in Workplace Education Programme (where WEP is offered; by production volume)	3%	Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices related to labour standards is a common issue in production locations. Good quality training of workers and managers is a key step towards sustainable improvements.	Documentation of relevant trainings; participation in Workplace Education Programme.	1	6	0

Comment: Two factories in China participated in the WEP

Recommendation: FWF recommends sol's to encourage more of its supplier to participate in FWF's Workplace Education Programme.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.5 Production location participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	0%	In areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered, member companies may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator.	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	0	4	0

Recommendation: Upon request, team in China could provide some training to the Sol's preferred training company.

TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 15

Earned Points: 4

5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations	Intermediate	Any improvements to supply chains require member companies to first know all of their production locations.	Supplier information provided by member company. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by member company to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	3	6	-2

Comment: Sol's finds transparency very important, therefore is working towards identifying all the locations. Suppliers are allowed to use subcontractors so long as Sol's is informed. Sol's needs to approve each production locations. For example in Bangladesh, where sol's has over 80% of its production, a staff of the local office needs to be in the factory to observe.

Recommendation: FWF recommends that Sol's improve its efforts to include all production locations in the database for suppliers. The company needs to have information on all production locations including cutting sewing, garment knitting, embroidery, printing, especially if subcontracting is allowed.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.2 CSR and other relevant staff actively share information with each other about working conditions at production locations.	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1

Comment: Information about suppliers, including audits and CAP status updates, is shared between all the responsible staff.

INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 4

6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Degree of member company compliance with FWF Communications Policy.	Minimum communications requirements are met AND no significant problems found	FWF's communications policy exists to ensure transparency for consumers and stakeholders, and to ensure that member communications about FWF are accurate. Members will be held accountable for their own communications as well as the communications behaviour of 3rd-party retailers, resellers and customers.	FWF membership is communicated on member's website; other communications in line with FWF communications policy.	2	2	-3

Comment: Sol's communicates about FWF on its website and magazines. All communication is compliant with FWF's communication policy.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.2 Member company engages in advanced reporting activities	No	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Member company publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	0	2	0

Recommendation: FWF recommends Sol's to publish one or more of the following reports on its website: brand performance check, audit reports, supplier information. Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of the affiliate and FWF's work.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is published on member company's website	Complete and accurate report submitted to FWF	The social report is an important tool for members to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders. Member companies should not make any claims in their social report that do not correspond with FWF's communication policy.	Social report that is in line with FWF's communication policy.	1	2	-1

Recommendation: As part of FWF approach towards transparency, FWF encourages Sol's to publish the annual social report on their website in addition to completing and submitting it to FWF.

TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 6

Earned Points: 3

7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Top management of Sol's is involved in meetings regularly to discuss labour standards at main suppliers. Decisions are made in cooperation with designated staff and FWF membership is annually evaluate..

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.2 Level of action/progress made on required changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by member company.	No requirements were included in previous Check	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Progress on achieving these requirements is an important part of FWF membership and its process approach.	Member company should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	N/A	4	-2

EVALUATION

Possible Points: 2

Earned Points: 2

RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

NA

SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	35	44
Monitoring and Remediation	11	25
Complaints Handling	7	13
Training and Capacity Building	4	15
Information Management	4	7
Transparency	3	6
Evaluation	2	2
Totals:	66	112

BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS DIVIDED BY POSSIBLE POINTS)

59

PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

Date of Brand Performance Check:

26-09-2017

Conducted by:

Juliette Li, Sandra Gonza

Interviews with:

Alexandra Bourderye, Buyer, CSR responsible

Frédéric Duhaïen, Quality and CSR Director

Clément Le Meur, Buying process Manager

Cédric Lenoir, Buying Director